# organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# Filipe A. Almeida Paz,<sup>a</sup> Paula C. R. Soares-Santos,<sup>b</sup> Helena I. S. Nogueira,<sup>b</sup> Tito Trindade<sup>b</sup> and Jacek Klinowski<sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW, England, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Aveiro, CICECO, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal

Correspondence e-mail: jk18@cam.ac.uk

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 180 KMean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.005 Å R factor = 0.043 wR factor = 0.113 Data-to-parameter ratio = 11.8

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.


# Tetrabutylammonium 2,6-dihydroxybenzoate 2,6-dihydroxybenzoic acid solvate

The title compound,  $C_{16}H_{36}N^+ \cdot C_7H_5O_4^- \cdot C_7H_6O_4$  or  $({}^{n}Bu_4N)^+ \cdot (2,6-dhb)^- \cdot (2,6-Hdhb)$  [where  $({}^{n}Bu_4N)$  is tetrabutylammonium,  $(2,6-dhb)^-$  is 2,6-dihydroxybenzoate and (2,6-Hdhb) is 2,6-dihydroxybenzoic acid], crystallizes in the space group *P*1. The crystal structure involves alternate layers, in the *c* direction, of cationic  $[{}^{n}Bu_4N]_n^{n+}$  and anionic [(2,6-dhb)(2,6-Hdhb)]\_n^{n-}. Hydrogen bonds within the anionic layers form bridges between 2,6-Hdhb and 2,6-dhb<sup>-</sup> residues.

Received 13 February 2003 Accepted 3 March 2003 Online 21 March 2003


# Comment

The synthesis of lanthanide complexes with appropriate organic ligands which can act as light collectors in highly luminescent novel materials is of considerable interest (Soares-Santos, Nogueira, Felix et al., 2003). A literature survey shows that 2,6-dihydroxybenzoic acid (2,6-Hdhb) is an ideal candidate for such studies, since it can coordinate to several metal centres in a variety of ways, viz. using only the carboxylate group, in either a monodentate or bidentatechelating mode, or through the carboxylate group along with an adjacent hydroxyl in a salicylate-type chelate. Several complexes with transition metals [Cu<sup>2+</sup> (Cariati et al., 1983), Co<sup>2+</sup> (Erre et al., 1988), Re<sup>5+</sup> (Bandoli et al., 1999), Pb<sup>2+</sup> (Glowiak et al., 1992) and Mn<sup>2+</sup> (Erre et al., 2000; Glowiak et al., 1995)] and lanthanide ions (Glowiak et al., 1999) have been reported. Several co-crystals of 2,6-Hdhb with other organic ligands have also been reported (Gdaniec et al., 1994; Lynch et al., 1994; Tarnura et al., 1973). We describe here a co-crystal of 2,6-Hdhb with tetrabutylammonium,  $(^{n}Bu_{4}N)^{+}$ , obtained in the course of our studies of lanthanide cations (Soares-Santos, Nogueira, Paz et al., 2003).




The title compound, (I), crystallizes in the space group P1, the unit cell containing 12 independent residues [four  $({}^{n}\text{Bu}_{4}\text{N})^{+}$ , four 2,6-Hdhb and four 2,6-dhb<sup>-</sup>] (Fig. 1).  $({}^{n}\text{Bu}_{4}\text{N})^{+}$ cations pack in the *ab* plane, forming a positively charged  $[{}^{n}\text{Bu}_{4}\text{N}]_{n}^{n+}$  layer which alternates in the *c* direction with a negatively charged layer, [(2,6-dhb)(2,6-Hdhb)]\_{n}^{n-} (Fig. 2). However, atom O1 also acts as a bifurcated acceptor in the

© 2003 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved



# Figure 1

One quarter of the asymmetric unit of (I), showing the labelling scheme for all non-H atoms. The three depicted molecules,  $["NBu_4]^+$ , 2,6-dhb<sup>-</sup> and 2,6-Hdhb, correspond to the residues number 1, 8 and 12, respectively. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.




# Figure 2

Perspective view of (I), showing the alternation in the *c* direction of the  $[{}^{n}Bu_{4}N]_{n}^{n+}$  cationic layers (hollow bonds) with  $[(2,6-dhb)(2,6-Hdhb)]_{n}^{n-}$  anionic layers (filled bonds). The hydrogen-bonding network is represented by green dashed lines.

hydrogen-bonding network, establishing a bridge with the neighbouring O4 atom (Fig. 3). A full listing of the hydrogen bonds is given in Table 1.

# Experimental

All chemicals were supplied by Aldrich and used as received. To a solution of 2,6-dihydroxybenzoic acid (2,6-Hdhb, 0.308 g, 2.00 mmol) and KOH (0.112 g, 2.00 mmol) in distilled water (*ca* 10 ml, pH = 3), Tb(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O (0.218 g, 0.50 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred thoroughly for 1 h at ambient temperature. 5 ml of an aqueous solution containing tetrabutylammonium chloride hydrate ( $^{n}Bu_{4}NCl \cdot nH_{2}O$ , 0.556 g, 2.00 mmol) was added to the resulting



# Figure 3

Detailed view of a portion of the  $[(2,6-dhb)(2,6-Hdhb)]_n^{n-}$  and 2,6-Hdhb molecules. For hydrogen-bonding geometry and symmetry codes, see Table 1.

mixture, leading to the formation of a white flocculent precipitate. The supernatant solution was decanted and allowed to stand undisturbed at ambient temperature for about two months, after which red crystals of the title compound were manually collected.

#### Crystal data

2	
$C_{16}H_{36}N^+ \cdot C_7H_5O_4^- \cdot C_7H_6O_4$	Z = 4
$M_r = 549.69$	$D_x = 1.213 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Triclinic, P1	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
a = 13.9840 (3)  Å	Cell parameters from 16891
b = 14.0507 (2)  Å	reflections
c = 15.4152 (4) Å	$\theta = 1.0-25.0^{\circ}$
$\alpha = 86.201 \ (6)^{\circ}$	$\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 84.847 \ (7)^{\circ}$	T = 180 (2)  K
$\gamma = 89.898 \ (7)^{\circ}$	Block, red
$V = 3009.96 (11) \text{ Å}^3$	$0.23 \times 0.16 \times 0.12 \text{ mm}$

# Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer Thin-slice  $\omega$  and  $\varphi$  scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SORTAV*; Blessing, 1995)  $T_{\min} = 0.770, T_{\max} = 0.806$ 26943 measured reflections 17008 independent reflections

#### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$   $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.043$   $wR(F^2) = 0.113$  S = 1.1217008 reflections 1441 parameters H-atom parameters constrained 14232 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   $R_{int} = 0.029$   $\theta_{max} = 25.1^{\circ}$   $h = -16 \rightarrow 16$   $k = -16 \rightarrow 16$  $l = -18 \rightarrow 18$ 

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.048P)^2]$
+ 0.3071 <i>P</i> ] where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.006$ $\Delta\rho_{\rm max} = 0.17 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.17 \text{ e A}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.21 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

# Table 1

Hydrogen-bonding	geometry	(Å,	°)	•
------------------	----------	-----	----	---

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
O3_5-H3_5···O2_5	0.84	1.78	2.521 (3)	147
O4_5-H4_5···O1_5	0.84	1.81	2.551 (3)	146
O3_6-H3_6···O2_6	0.84	1.78	2.535 (3)	148
O4_6−H4_6···O1_6	0.84	1.78	2.525 (3)	148
O3_7-H3_7···O2_7	0.84	1.80	2.551 (3)	147
O4_7-H4_7···O1_7	0.84	1.79	2.537 (3)	147
O3_8-H3_8···O2_8	0.84	1.77	2.525 (3)	148
O4_8−H4_8···O1_8	0.84	1.81	2.555 (3)	148
O1_9−H1_9···O4_9	0.84	1.83	2.561 (3)	145
O3_9-H3_9···O2_9	0.84	1.79	2.541 (3)	148
O4_9−H4_9···O2_8	0.84	1.70	2.534 (3)	174
O1_10-H1_10···O4_10	0.84	1.80	2.545 (3)	146
O3_10-H3_10···O2_10	0.84	1.81	2.552 (3)	147
$O4_{10}-H4_{10}O1_{7^{i}}$	0.84	1.67	2.508 (3)	175
O1_11-H1_11···O3_11	0.84	1.80	2.558 (3)	149
O3_11-H3_11···O2_5 <sup>ii</sup>	0.84	1.71	2.542 (3)	173
O4_11-H4_11···O2_11	0.84	1.80	2.544 (3)	147
$O1_{12} - H1_{12} \cdot \cdot \cdot O3_{12}$	0.84	1.79	2.545 (3)	149
O3_12-H3_12···O1_6	0.84	1.69	2.521 (3)	170
O4_12-H4_12···O2_12	0.84	1.80	2.547 (3)	147

Symmetry codes: (i) 1 + x, y - 1, z; (ii) x, 1 + y, z.

H atoms were placed in calculated positions and allowed to ride during subsequent refinement, with  $U_{iso}(H) = xU_{eq}(C/O)$  (x = 1.5 for CH<sub>3</sub> and x = 1.2 for the remaining H atoms). Carboxylic acid groups were assigned by examining the C–O distances, with the –OH H atoms being placed using the AFIX 147 instruction in *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997). There is a pseudo-centre at (0,0,0). As no correlation coefficients > 0.5 were found, we conclude that no change of space group is needed. This was confirmed using the ADDSYM routine in *PLATON* (Spek, 1990). Friedel data was merged before refinement and the Flack (1983) parameter was omitted since it was found to be meaningless due to the presence of a weak anomalous scatterer.

Data collection: *COLLECT* (Nonius, 1998); cell refinement: *HKL SCALEPACK* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: *HKL DENZO* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997) and *SCALEPACK*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR*92 (Altomare *et al.*, 1994); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 2001); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*. We are grateful to Dr John E. Davies (Cambridge) for collecting the diffraction data, to the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT) for financial support through PhD scholarship No. SFRH/BD/3024/2000 (to FAAP) and to the University of Aveiro for a PhD research grant (PCRSS). We also acknowledge additional financial support from FCT (grant contract POCTI/35378/QUI/2000) supported by FEDER.

#### References

- Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Burla, M. C., Polidori, G. & Camalli, M. (1994). J. Appl. Cryst. 27, 435.
- Bandoli, G., Dolmella, A., Gerber, T. I. A., Perils, J. & du Preez, J. G. H. (1999). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 294, 114–118.
- Blessing, R. H. (1995). Acta Cryst. A51, 33-58.
- Bruker (2001). SHELXTL. Version 6.12. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Cariati, F., Erre, L., Micera, G., Panzanelli, A., Ciani, G. & Sironi, A. (1983). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 80, 57–65.
- Erre, L. S., Micera, G., Cariati, F., Ciani, G., Sironi, A., Kozlowski, H. & Baranowski, J. (1988). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 363–367.
- Erre, L. S., Micera, G., Garribba, E. & Benyei, A. Cs. (2000). New J. Chem. 24, 725–728.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Gdaniec, M., Gilski, M. & Denisov, G. S. (1994). Acta Cryst. C50, 1622-1626.
- Glowiak, T., Brzyska, W., Kula, A., Rzaczynska, Z. & Jaroniec, M. (1999). J. Coord. Chem. 48, 477–786.
- Glowiak, T., Kozlowski, H., Erre, L. S. & Micera, G. (1995). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **236**, 149–154.
- Glowiak, T., Kozlowski, H., Erre, L. S., Micera, G. & Gulinati, B. (1992). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 202, 43–48.
- Lynch, D. E., Smith, G., Freney, D., Byriel, K. A. & Kennard, C. H. L. (1994). Aust. J. Chem. 47, 1097–1115.
- Nonius (1998). COLLECT. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). Methods in Enzymology, Vol. 276, Macromolecular Crystallography, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr and R. M. Sweet, pp. 307–326. New York: Academic Press.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany
- Soares-Santos, P. C. R., Nogueira, H. I. S., Felix, V., Drew, M. G. B., Sa Ferreira, R. A., Carlos, L. D. & Trindade, T. (2003). *Chem. Mater.* 15, 100–108.
- Soares-Santos, P. C. R., Nogueira, H. I. S., Paz, F. A. A., Sa Ferreira, R. A., Carlos, L. D., Klinowski, J. & Trindade, T. (2003). *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* Submitted.
- Spek, A. L. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, C-34.
- Tarnura, C., Sato, S. & Hata, T. (1973). Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn, 46, 2388.